

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/701,564	BRUHN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SAMUEL A. ACQUAH	1711	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) SAMUEL A. ACQUAH. (3) _____
 (2) STEPHAN KOSCHMIEDER. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 09 April 2003 .

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____ .

Claim(s) discussed: ALL .


Identification of prior art discussed: MANDELL ET AL '062 .

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: APPLICANTS PROPOSED TO ANTEDATE THE PRIOR ART BY SUBMITTING THE CERTIFIED COPY OF THE GERMAN APPLICATION. ALSO, APPLICANTS PROPOSED TO SUBMIT ADDITIONAL CLAIMS DRAWN TO COMPOSITIONS POSITIVELY RECITING THE COMPONENTS OF THE COMPOSITION .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


 SAMUEL A. ACQUAH
 PRIMARY EXAMINER
 GROUP ~~120~~ 1700

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required